Investigating the excited Ω_c^0 states through $\Xi_c K$ and $\Xi_c^{'} K$ decay channels

Hongxia Huang[1], Jialun Ping[1*], Fan Wang[2]
[1] *Department of Physics and Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Numerical Simulation of Large Scale Complex Systems, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, P. R. China and*
[2] *Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P.R. China*

Inspired by the five newly observed Ω_c^0 states by the LHCb detector, we study the Ω_c^0 states as the $S-$wave molecular pentaquarks with $I = 0$, $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$, $\frac{3}{2}^-$, and $\frac{5}{2}^-$ by solving the RGM equation in the framework of chiral quark model. Both the energies and the decay widths are obtained in this work. Our results suggest that $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ can be explained as an $S-$wave resonance state of ΞD with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$, and the decay channels are the $S-$wave $\Xi_c K$ and $\Xi_c^{'} K$. Other reported Ω_c^0 states cannot be obtained in our present calculation. Another Ω_c^0 state with much higher mass 3533 MeV with $J^P = \frac{5}{2}^-$ is also obtained. In addition, the calculation is extended to the Ω_b^0 states, similar results as that of Ω_c^0 are obtained.

PACS numbers: 13.75.Cs, 12.39.Pn, 12.39.Jh

arXiv:1704.01421v1 [hep-ph] 5 Apr 2017

I. INTRODUCTION

There has been important experimental progress in the sector of heavy baryons in the past decade. Many heavy baryons have been reported. For example, the triplet of excited Σ_c baryons, $\Sigma_c(2800)$, was observed by Belle [1] in 2005, and they tentatively identified the quantum numbers of these states as $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$. In 2008, the same neutral state Σ_c^0 was also observed by the *BABAR* Collaboration with the mean value of mass higher than that obtained by Belle [2]. The charmed baryons $\Lambda_c(2880)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ were observed by both *BABAR* and Belle Collaborations in 2007 [3, 4]. The charm-strange baryons $\Xi_c(2980)^+$ and $\Xi_c(3077)^0$ were reported by Belle [5] and later confirmed by *BABAR* [6]. $\Xi_c(3055)^+$ and $\Xi_c(3123)^+$ were also investigated by *BABAR* [6]. Among the expected charmed baryons, the spectrum of the Ω_c^0 baryons, which have quark content of *ssc*, is still unknown. Only two states: $\Omega_c(2695)^0$ and $\Omega_c(2768)^0$ with $J^P = 1/2^+$ and $J^P = 3/2^+$ respectively have been observed before [7, 8]. Very recently, the LHCb Collaboration reported five new narrow Ω_c^0 states in the $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ invariant mass spectrum. They are: the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, $\Omega_c(3090)^0$, and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ [9]. Moreover, the decay widths of these states were also observed by the experiment, which are only a few MeV. However, the quantum numbers and the structures of these states are still unclear now.

All these experimental progress of heavy baryons have stimulated extensive interest in understanding the structures of the charmed baryons. A classical way to describe the charmed baryons is based on the assumption that they are conventional charmed baryons. And another way is treating them as candidates of molecular states. Take the $\Sigma_c(2800)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states for example. Being considered as two traditional charmed baryons, the strong decays of these two states have been studied by using the heavy hadron chiral perturbation theory [10], the $^3P_0$ model [11], and the chiral quark model [12]. On the other hand, many work treat them as candidates of molecular states. J. R. Zhang found that $\Sigma_c(2800)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ as the $S-$wave ND state and ND^* state respectively by means of QCD sum rules. J. He and X. Liu explained the $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ as an isoscalar $S-$wave or $P-$wave D^*N system within the one-boson-exchange model [13]. For the newly observed Ω_c^0 states, some work treat them as traditional charmed baryons. S. S. Agaev *et al.* calculated the masses and the residues of these states with $J^P = 1/2^+$ and $J^P = 3/2^+$ in the framework of QCD two-point sum rules and they were inclined to assign the $\Omega_c(2066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ states as the first radially excited $(2S, 1/2^+)$ and $(2S, 3/2^+)$ charmed baryons [14]. H. X. Chen *et al.* studied the decay properties of the $P-$wave charmed baryons within the light-cone QCD sum rules, including some Λ_c, Σ_c, Ξ_c states, as well as these newly reported Ω_c^0 states [15]. They interpreted one of these Ω_c states was a $J^P = 1/2^-$ state, two of them were $J^P = 3/2^-$ state and $J^P = 5/2^-$ state, another two may be with $J^P = 1/2^+$ and $3/2^+$. M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner explained these Ω_c^0 baryons as bound states of a $P-$wave $ss-$diquark and a $c-$quark [16], and they predicted two of spin 1/2, two of spin 3/2, and one of spin 5/2, all with negative parity. K. L. Wang *et al.* investigated the strong and radiative decay properties of the low-lying Ω_c states in a constituent quark model [17]. Their results show that the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ can be assigned to have $J^P = 1/2^-$, the $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ with $J^P = 3/2^-$, the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ with $J^P = 5/2^-$, and the $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ might be one of the two $2S$ states of the first radial excitations. Another way to describe these states is assuming they are pentaquark states. G. Yang *et al.* did a dynamical calculation of 5-quark systems to study the structure of the pentaquarks Ω_c in the chiral quark model by taking the advantage of gaussian expansion method [18], and they pointed out that the $\Xi\bar{D}$, $\Xi_c\bar{K}$ and $\Xi_c^*\bar{K}$ are possible the candidates of these new parti-

*Corresponding author: jlping@njnu.edu.cn

cles.

Actually, the hadron-hadron scattering is one of the important ways to generate and identify multi-quark states. Therefore, to provide the necessary information for experiment to search for the multi-quark states, we should not only calculate the mass spectrum but also study the corresponding scattering process. The scattering phase shifts will show a resonance behavior in the resonance energy region. By using the constituent quark models and the resonating group method (RGM) [19], we have obtained the d^* resonance during the NN scattering process, the energy and decay width of the partial wave are consistent with the experiment data [20]. Extending to the pentaquark system, we investigated the $N\phi$ state in the different scattering channels: $N\eta'$, ΛK, and ΣK [21]. Both the resonance mass and decay width were obtained, which provide the necessary information for experiment searching at Jefferson Lab. Therefore, it is interesting to extend such study to the newly observed Ω_c^0 states. In this work, we will assume Ω_c^0 states are pentaquark states, calculate both the masses and decay widths of these states, and analyze if there are some Ω_c^0 states which can be explained as pentaquarks by comparing with the LHCb data. Finally, we will also extend the study to the Ω_b^0 states because of the heavy flavor symmetry.

The structure of this paper is as follows. A brief introduction of a constituent quark model used is given in section II. Section III devotes to the numerical results and discussions. The summary is shown in the last section.

II. CHIRAL QUARK MODEL

Here, we use the chiral quark model to study the Ω_c^0 states. The Salamanca model was chosen as the representative of the chiral quark models, because the Salamanca group's work covers the hadron spectra, nucleon-nucleon interaction, and multiquark states. We also have used this model to study the nucleon-nucleon interaction, dibaryon resonance states, such as d^* state [20], $N\Omega$ [22, 23], and so on. In this model,the constituent quarks interact with each other through the one-gluon-exchange and the Goldstone boson exchange in addition to the color confinement. For the system with strangeness, a version of chiral quark model [24, 25] had been used, where full SU(3) scalar octet meson-exchange was used. These scalar potentials have the same functional form as the one of SU(2) ChQM but a different SU(3) operator dependence [24]. The model details can be found in Ref. [26]. Here we only give the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{6}\left(m_i+\frac{p_i^2}{2m_i}\right)-T_{CM}+\sum_{j>i=1}^{6}\left(V_{ij}^C+V_{ij}^G+V_{ij}^\chi+V_{ij}^\sigma\right), \tag{1}$$

$$V_{ij}^C = -a_c\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i^c\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda}_j^c(r_{ij}^2+v_0), \tag{2}$$

$$V_{ij}^G = \frac{1}{4}\alpha_s\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i^c\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda}_j^c\left[\frac{1}{r_{ij}}-\frac{\pi}{2}\delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij})(\frac{1}{m_i^2}+\frac{1}{m_j^2}+\frac{4\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j}{3m_im_j})-\frac{3}{4m_im_jr_{ij}^3}S_{ij}\right] \tag{3}$$

$$V_{ij}^\chi = V_\pi(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij})\sum_{a=1}^{3}\lambda_i^a\cdot\lambda_j^a+V_K(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij})\sum_{a=4}^{7}\lambda_i^a\cdot\lambda_j^a+V_\eta(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij})\left[\left(\lambda_i^8\cdot\lambda_j^8\right)\cos\theta_P-\left(\lambda_i^0\cdot\lambda_j^0\right)\sin\theta_P\right] \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}V_\chi(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij}) &= \frac{g_{ch}^2}{4\pi}\frac{m_\chi^2}{12m_im_j}\frac{\Lambda_\chi^2}{\Lambda_\chi^2-m_\chi^2}m_\chi\left\{(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j)\left[Y(m_\chi\,r_{ij})-\frac{\Lambda_\chi^3}{m_\chi^3}Y(\Lambda_\chi\,r_{ij})\right]\right.\\ &\left.+\left[H(m_\chi r_{ij})-\frac{\Lambda_\chi^3}{m_\chi^3}H(\Lambda_\chi r_{ij})\right]S_{ij}\right\},\qquad \chi=\pi,K,\eta,\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$V_{ij}^{\sigma_a} = V_{a_0}(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij})\sum_{a=1}^{3}\lambda_i^a\cdot\lambda_j^a+V_\kappa(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij})\sum_{a=4}^{7}\lambda_i^a\cdot\lambda_j^a+V_{f_0}(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij})\lambda_i^8\cdot\lambda_j^8+V_\sigma(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij})\lambda_i^0\cdot\lambda_j^0 \tag{6}$$

$$V_k(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij}) = -\frac{g_{ch}^2}{4\pi}\frac{\Lambda_k^2m_k}{\Lambda_k^2-m_k^2}\left[Y(m_k\,r_{ij})-\frac{\Lambda_k}{m_k}Y(\Lambda_k\,r_{ij})\right],\qquad k=a_0,\kappa,f_0,\sigma, \tag{7}$$

$$S_{ij} = \left\{3\frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i\cdot\boldsymbol{r}_{ij})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j\cdot\boldsymbol{r}_{ij})}{r_{ij}^2}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j\right\}, \tag{8}$$

$$H(x) = (1+3/x+3/x^2)Y(x),\qquad Y(x)=e^{-x}/x. \tag{9}$$

Where S_{ij} is quark tensor operator; $Y(x)$ and $H(x)$ are standard Yukawa functions; T_c is the kinetic energy of the center of mass; α_s is the quark-gluon coupling con-

stant; g_{ch} is the coupling constant for chiral field, which is determined from the $NN\pi$ coupling constant through

$$\frac{g_{ch}^2}{4\pi} = \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^2 \frac{g_{\pi NN}^2}{4\pi} \frac{m_{u,d}^2}{m_N^2}. \quad (10)$$

The other symbols in the above expressions have their usual meanings.

Generally, we use the parameters from our former work of dibaryons, only the mass of charm quark is adjusted to fit the charmed mesons and baryons used in this work. However, the former parameters can describe the ground baryons well, but cannot fit the ground mesons, especially the K meson, the mass of which is much higher than the experimental value. This situation will lead to a consequence that some bound states cannot decay to the open channel $\Xi_c K$, because of the much larger mass of K. To solve this problem, we adjust the parameters which are related to s and c quarks in this work, and keep the parameters which are related to u and d quarks. By doing this, the parameters can describe the nucleon-nucleon interaction well, and at the same time, it will lower the mass of K. All the parameters of Hamiltonian are given in Table I. The calculated masses of baryons and mesons in comparison with experimental values are shown in Table II.

TABLE I: Model parameters: $m_\pi = 0.7\text{fm}^{-1}$, $m_k = 2.51\text{fm}^{-1}$, $m_\eta = 2.77\text{fm}^{-1}$, $m_\sigma = 3.42\text{fm}^{-1}$, $m_{a_0} = m_\kappa = m_{f_0} = 4.97\text{fm}^{-1}$, $\Lambda_\pi = 4.2\text{fm}^{-1}$, $\Lambda_K = 5.2\text{fm}^{-1}$, $\Lambda_\eta = 5.2\text{fm}^{-1}$, $\Lambda_\sigma = 4.2\text{fm}^{-1}$, $\Lambda_{a_0} = \Lambda_\kappa = \Lambda_{f_0} = 5.2\text{fm}^{-1}$, $g_{ch}^2/(4\pi)$=0.54, θ_p=-15^0.

b (fm)	m_u (MeV)	m_d (MeV)	m_s (MeV)	m_c (MeV)	m_b (MeV)
0.518	313	313	450	1635	4988
a_c (MeV fm^{-2})	V_0 (MeV)	$\alpha_{s_{uu}}$	$\alpha_{s_{us}}$	$\alpha_{s_{uc}}$	$\alpha_{s_{ub}}$
48.59	-0.961	0.84	0.82	0.35	0.27
$\alpha_{s_{ss}}$	$\alpha_{s_{sc}}$	$\alpha_{s_{sb}}$	$\alpha_{s_{cc}}$	$\alpha_{s_{bb}}$	
0.30	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.15	

TABLE II: The masses of baryons and mesons used in this work (in MeV).

	Ξ	Ξ^*	Ξ_c	$\Xi_c^{'}$	Ξ_c^*	Ω_c	Ω_c^*
Exp.	1318	1533	2469	2577	2646	2695	2766
ChQM	1225	1359	2448	2527	2543	2662	2672
	K	K^*	η	ω	D	D^*	
Exp.	495	892	548	783	1864	2007	
ChQM	593	830	523	702	1980	2007	
	Ξ_b	$\Xi_b^{'}$	Ξ_b^*	Ω_b	Ω_b^*	B	B^*
Exp.	5795	5935	5949	6046	?	5279	5325
ChQM	5794	5880	5884	6008	6011	5351	5358

TABLE III: The channels calculated in this work.

J^P	Structure	Channels
$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1. $uss - c\bar{u}$	ΞD, ΞD^*, $\Xi^* D^*$
	2. $usc - s\bar{u}$	$\Xi_c K$, $\Xi_c^{'} K$, $\Xi_c K^*$, $\Xi_c^{'} K^*$, $\Xi_c^* K^*$
	3. $ssc - u\bar{u}$	$\Omega_c\eta$, $\Omega_c\omega$, $\Omega_c^*\omega$
$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1. $uss - c\bar{u}$	ΞD^*, $\Xi^* D$, $\Xi^* D^*$
	2. $usc - s\bar{u}$	$\Xi_c K^*$, $\Xi_c^{'} K^*$, $\Xi_c^* K$, $\Xi_c^* K^*$
	3. $ssc - u\bar{u}$	$\Omega_c\omega$, $\Omega_c^*\eta$, $\Omega_c^*\omega$
$\frac{5}{2}^-$	1. $uss - c\bar{u}$	$\Xi^* D^*$
	2. $usc - s\bar{u}$	$\Xi_c^* K^*$
	3. $ssc - u\bar{u}$	$\Omega_c^*\omega$

III. THE RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this work, we investigate the $S-$wave Ω_c^0 states as the molecular pentaquarks with $I = 0$, $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$, $\frac{3}{2}^-$, and $\frac{5}{2}^-$. Three structures are considered here, and they are structure 1: $uss - c\bar{u}$, 2: $usc - s\bar{u}$, and 3: $ssc - u\bar{u}$. All the channels involved are listed in Table III.

A. Bound state calculation

As the first step, we do a dynamic calculation based on RGM to check whether or not there is any bound state. We expand the relative motion wavefunction between two clusters in the RGM equation by Gaussian bases. By doing this, the integro-differential equation of RGM can be reduced to algebraic equation, generalized eigen-equation. Then we can obtain the energy of the system by solving this generalized eigen-equation. In the present calculation, the baryon-meson separation is taken to be less than 6 fm (to keep the dimensions of matrix manageably small). The single channel calculation shows that the energy of each channel locates above the threshold of the corresponding channel, which means that there is no any singlet bound state. By coupling all the channels with different structures, there exist some bound states. The binding energies and the masses of the bound states, as well as the percentages of each channel in the eigen-states are listed in Table IV. Before discussing the features of the states, we should mention how we obtain the mass of these states. The binding energy $B = M^{the.} - M_B^{the.} - M_M^{the.}$, where $M^{the.}$, $M_B^{the.}$ and $M_M^{the.}$ stand for the theoretical mass of the molecular state, a baryon and a meson, respectively. To minimize the theoretical errors and to compare calculated results to the experimental data, we shift the mass of a molecular state to $M = M_B^{exp.} + M_M^{exp.} + B$, where the experimental values of a baryon and a meson are used. Taking the state $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ ΞD an example, the calculated mass of this state is 3169 MeV, then the binding energy B is obtained by subtracting the theoretical masses of Ξ and D, $3169 - 1225 - 1980 = -36$ (MeV). Adding the ex-

TABLE IV: The binding energy and masses (in MeV) of the molecular pentaquarks with channel-coupling and the percentages of each channel in the eigen-states.

$J^P=\frac{1}{2}^-$		$J^P=\frac{3}{2}^-$		$J^P=\frac{5}{2}^-$	
E_B	-36	E_B	-1	E_B	-7
M_{cc}	3146	M_{cc}	3324	M_{cc}	3533
ΞD	58.1	ΞD^*	63.6	$\Xi^* D^*$	50.3
ΞD^*	3.3	$\Xi^* D$	3.6	$\Xi_c^* K^*$	13.4
$\Xi^* D^*$	1.9	$\Xi^* D^*$	0.2	$\Omega_c^* \omega$	36.3
$\Omega_c \eta$	31.1	$\Omega_c \omega$	1.2		
$\Omega_c \omega$	1.9	$\Omega_c^* \eta$	31.3		
$\Omega_c^* \omega$	3.7	$\Omega_c^* \omega$	0.1		

perimental masses of the hadrons, the mass of this state $M = 1318 + 1864 + (-36) = 3146$ (MeV) is arrived.

For the $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ system, the channel-coupling of each structure cannot make any state bound. Then we do a channel-coupling among different structures. We find that there is no bound state by coupling channels of structure 1 and 2, or structures 2 and 3. However, by coupling the channels of structure 1 and 3, we obtain a stable state, the mass of which is 3146 MeV, -36 MeV lower than the threshold of ΞD, and the main component of this state is ΞD, as showed in Table IV. While coupling these channels to the channels of structure 2, in which there are open channels $\Xi_c K$ and $\Xi_c^{'} K$, whose thresholds are lower than the mass of ΞD. The result of the channel-coupling of three structures shows that the lowest eigen-energy is very close but still higher than the threshold of the $\Xi_c K$, which means there is no bound state by all channels coupling. However, we also obtain a quasi-stable state, the mass of which is smaller than the threshold of ΞD, but it fluctuates around the 3146 MeV with about 1 MeV with the variation of the baryon-meson separation. To confirm whether or not the state ΞD can survive as a resonance state after the full channels coupling, the study of the scattering process of the open channels is needed, which is discussed in subsection B.

For the $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ system, we only find a bound state ΞD^* with a binding energy of only -1 MeV by coupling channels of structure 1 and 3, as shown in Table IV. There is neither any bound state nor any quasi-stable state by the full channels coupling. However, we still need to calculate the scattering process of the open channel $\Xi_c^* K$ to check if the state ΞD^* is a resonance or not. The result is also shown in subsection B.

For the $J^P = \frac{5}{2}^-$ system, it includes only one channel of each structure. Although it is not bound for each structure, there exists a bound state by three channels coupling. The binding energy and the mass of this system, as well as the percentages of each channel in the eigen-state are shown in Table IV, from which we can see that the mass of the $J^P = \frac{5}{2}^-$ system is 3533 MeV. Moreover, this state can also decay to some open channels, but they are $D-$wave channels. This $S-$ and $D-$wave channel-coupling, which is through the tenser force, is always very weak in our quark model calculation [21]. So we can estimate the effect of this kind of coupling is small here. We will do this $S-$ and $D-$wave channel-coupling in future.

B. Resonance states and decay widths

To find the resonance mass and decay width of the quasi-stable states discussed in subsection A, we calculate the phase shifts of the corresponding open channels. For the $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ system, coupling to the $S-$wave open channel $\Xi_c K$ cause the ΞD bound state to change into an elastic resonance, where the phase shift, shown in Fig. 1, rises through π at a resonance mass. We find the resonance mass is 3146.8 MeV, which shows the energy of the bound state is pushed up a little. From the Fig. 1, the decay width is obviously very narrow, which is only 0.6 MeV. By coupling to another open channel $\Xi_c^{'} K$, similar results are obtained. The ΞD bound state changes to a resonance state of the same resonance mass and decay width. Therefore, we can obtain a resonance state ΞD with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ in the decay channel $\Xi_c K$ or $\Xi_c^{'} K$, with the resonance mass 3146.8 MeV and decay width 0.6 MeV, which is consistent with the newly reported $\Omega_c(3119)^0$, the decay width of which is $1.1 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.4$ MeV. What's more, this $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ were observed both in $\Xi_c K$ and $\Xi_c^{'} K$ in the LHCb experiment [9]. So in our quark model calculation, we can explain the $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ as a resonance state ΞD with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$.



FIG. 1: The phase shifts of the scattering channels $\Xi_c K$ and $\Xi_c^{'} K$ for the $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ system.

For the $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ system, we study the scattering process of the open channel $S-$wave $\Xi_c^* K$, and we do not find any resonance state. This is reasonable. Because the binding energy of the ΞD^* is only -1 MeV, which is too small. The channel-coupling to the $\Xi_c^* K$ pushes



FIG. 2: The phase shifts of the scattering channels $\Xi_b K$ and $\Xi_b^{'} K$ for the $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ system.

the energy of ΞD^* above its threshold. So there is no resonance state with $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ in our calculation.

In addition, we also extend the study to the Ω_b^0 system because of the heavy flavor symmetry. The results are similar to that of Ω_c^0 system. We obtain a resonance state ΞB with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ in the decay channels $\Xi_b K$ and $\Xi_b^{'} K$, with the same resonance mass of 6560 MeV and decay width 0.6 MeV (see Fig. 2). Besides, there is a bound state $\Xi^* B^*$ with $J^P = \frac{5}{2}^-$ and the energy of it is 6856 MeV.

IV. SUMMARY

In summary, we investigate the excited Ω_c^0 states as the $S-$wave molecular pentaquarks with $I = 0$, $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$, $\frac{3}{2}^-$, and $\frac{5}{2}^-$ by solving the RGM equation in the framework of chiral quark model. In this work, we study not only the energies of the Ω_c^0 states but also the decay widths of them. Our results show that the $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ can be explained as an $S-$wave resonance state ΞD with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$, and the decay channels are the $S-$wave $\Xi_c K$ and $\Xi_c^{'} K$. Other newly reported Ω_c^0 states cannot be obtained in our present calculation. They maybe the conventional charmed baryons with $P-$wave or even higher partial waves. It is also possible that these states are mixing states with q^3 and $q^4\bar{q}$. The unquenched quark model, which takes into account the high Fock components, is feasible to do this mixing. We also obtain another Ω_c^0 state with much higher mass, which is 3533 MeV with $J^P = \frac{5}{2}^-$. Besides, the calculation is extended to the Ω_b^0 states, similar results as that of Ω_c^0 are obtained.

Our calculation also shows that the coupling of the structure $uss - c\bar{u}$ and $ssc - u\bar{u}$ is important to make the ΞD bound, and the coupling with structure $usc - s\bar{u}$ is very weak, which can be used to explain why the reported $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ has a narrow decay width. It also gives us some information that the decay width of these Ω_c^0 states maybe somehow related to the structure of these states. More structures will be studied in future.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported partly by the National Science Foundation of China under Contract Nos. 11675080, 11035006 and 11535005, the Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (Grant No. 16KJB140006), and Jiangsu Government Scholarship for Overseas Studies.

[1] R. Mizuk *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 122002 (2005).
[2] B. Aubert *et al.* (BABAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **78**, 112003 (2008).
[3] B. Aubert *et al.* (BABAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 012001 (2007).
[4] R. Mizuk *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 262001 (2007).
[5] R. Chistov *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 162001 (2006).
[6] B. Aubert *et al.* (BABAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **77**, 012002 (2008).
[7] B. Aubert *et al.* (BABAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 232001 (2006).
[8] Particle Data Group, C. Patrignani *et al.*, Chin. Phys. C **40**, 100001 (2016).
[9] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), arXiv:1703.04639v1 [hep-ex].
[10] H. Y. Cheng and C. K. Chua, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 014006 (2007).
[11] C.Chen, X. L.Chen, X. Liu, W. Z. Deng and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 094017 (2007).
[12] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 074008 (2008).
[13] J. He and X. Liu, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 114029 (2010).
[14] S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi and H. Sundu, arXiv:1703.07091v1 [hep-ph].
[15] H. X. Chen, Q. Mao, W. Chen, A. Hosaka, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, arXiv:1703.07703v1 [hep-ph].
[16] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, arXiv:1703.07774v1 [hep-ph].
[17] K. L. Wang, L. Y. Xiao, X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, arXiv:1703.09130v1 [hep-ph].
[18] G. Yang and J. L. Ping, arXiv:1703.08845v1 [hep-ph].
[19] M. Kamimura, Supp. Prog. Theo. Phys. **62**, 236 (1977).
[20] J. L. Ping, H. X. Huang, H. R. Pang, F. Wang and C. W. Wong, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 024001 (2009).
[21] H. Gao, H. X. Huang, T. B. Liu, J. L. Ping, F. Wang and Z. Zhao, arXiv:1701.03210v1 [hep-ph].
[22] M. Chen, H. X. Huang, J. L. Ping and F. Wang, Phys.

Rev. C **83**, 015202 (2011).
[23] H. X. Huang, J. L. Ping and F. Wang, Phys. Rev. C **92**, 065202 (2015).
[24] H. Garcilazo, T. F. Carames and A. Valcarce, Phys. Rev. C **74**, 034002 (2007).
[25] Q. B. Li, P. N. Shen, Z. Y. Zhang and Y. W. Yu, Nucl. Phys. A **683**, 487 (2001).
[26] A. Valcarce, H. Garcilazo, F. Fernández and P. Gonzalez, Rep. Prog. Phys. **68**, 965 (2005) and references therein.